

JAN 2 8 2009

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Thomas Fitton
President
Judicial Watch, Inc.
501 School Street, S.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20024

RE: MUR 6035

Northern Trust Company

Barack Obama

Obama 2010, Inc. and

Harvey S. Wineberg, in his official

capacity as treasurer Obama for Illinois, Inc. and

Harvey S. Wineberg, in his official capacity as treasurer (terminated)

Dear Mr. Fitton:

On January 22, 2009, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint dated July 9, 2008, and found that on the basis of the information provided in your complaint, and information provided by the respondents, there is no reason to believe Northern Trust Company and Barack Obama violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a) and no reason to believe Obama 2010, Inc. and Harvey S. Weinberg, in his official capacity as treasurer, and Obama for Illinois, Inc. and Harvey S. Weinberg, in his official capacity as treasurer, (terminated) violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a) and 434(b)(2). Accordingly, on January 22, 2009, the Commission closed the file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003). The Factual and Legal Analyses, which more fully explain the Commission's findings are enclosed.

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

Sincerely,

Susan L. Lebeaux

Assistant General Counsel

Sun L. Lebeny

Enclosures
Factual and Legal Analyses

27

28

1			
2	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
4	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS		
5 6			
7 8 9	RESPONDENTS: Northern Trust Company MUR 6035		
10	I. GENERATION OF MATTER		
11	This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election		
12	Commission by Thomas Fitton, on behalf of Judicial Watch, Inc. See 2 U.S.C.		
13	§ 437g(a)(1).		
14	II. FACTUAL SUMMARY		
15	The complaint alleges, based on an article in The Washington Post, that then-		
16	Senator Barack Obama and his wife Michelle Obama obtained a mortgage from Northern		
17	Trust Company ("Northern Trust") at a discounted interest rate, which resulted in a		
18	"disguised campaign contribution to [then-]Senator Obama of at least \$108,000." The		
19	complaint further alleges that the contribution was a prohibited corporate contribution		
20	and that neither of then-Senator Obama's campaigns nor Northern Trust reported the		
21	contribution. On July 2, 2008, The Washington Post published an article stating that		
22	then-Senator Barack Obama and Michelle Obama obtained a mortgage from Northern		
23	Trust on June 15, 2005, for \$1.32 million at a 5.67 percent interest rate, for the purchase		
24	of a \$1.65 million home on Chicago's south side. Joe Stephens, Obama Got Discount on		
25	Home Loan, Wash. Post, July 2, 2008, at A03 ("Stephens article"). According to the		
26	article, the Obamas received a mortgage from Northern Trust at a discounted rate, lower		

than "the average offered at the time in Chicago for similarly structured jumbo loans." Id.

Rates for similar loans during the same week averaged 5.93 percent to 6.0 percent,

19

MUR 6035 Factual and Legal Analysis Northern Trust Company Page 2 of 7

1 according to the story, and may have saved the then-Senator more than \$300 a month. Id. A spokesman for then-Senator Obama, quoted in the article, said that the interest rate was 2 3 adjusted to account for a competing offer from another lender and other factors. Id. The article quoted the Obama spokesman as saying "[t]he Obamas have since had as much as 4 \$3 million invested through Northern Trust." Id. The article noted that when the 5 Obsmas secured the loan, their income had risen dramatically, because Barack Obsma. 6 7 assumed his Senate seat and received a \$2.27 million book deal from Random House, and 8 Michelle Obama received a promotion to vice president at the University of Chicago 9 Hospitals. Id. It stated, however, that the Obamas had no prior relationship with 10 Northern Trust when they applied for the loan. Id. The article also quoted a Northern 11 Trust official as stating that Northern Trust has no formal program to provide discounts to 12 public officials, but that a person's salary and occupation are two factors they take into consideration when anyone is seeking a mortgage at Northern Trust. Id. The official 13 further stated that "[t]he bottom line is, this was a business proposition for us" and "our 14 business model is to service and pursue successful individuals, families and institutions." 15 Id. \ 16 17 Based on the Stephens article, Judicial Watch filed a complaint with the 18 Commission. The complaint states that the Stephens article reports that "the favorable

interest rate would save [then-]Sen. Obsma over \$300 a month, which over the life of the

The Stephens article was criticized by a later article in *The Washington Post* which stated that the Stephens article "had a negative cast to it and lacked the important context that other wealthy and savvy borrowers could have done as well under similar circumstances." Deborah Howell, *More Story Than a Loan Merited*, Wash. Post, July 13, 2008 at B06. The Stephens article was also criticized by an article in the Columbia Journalism Review, which questioned why *The Washington Post* run the Stephens article because Stephens left the question of whether Obama's political position affected the details of his loan unanswered and "all that's left are a bunch of weak correlations." Justin Peters, *Behind Barack's* "Suspicious" Mortgage, Colum. Journalism Rev., July 2, 2008.

MUR 6035
Factual and Legal Analysis
Northern Trust Company
Page 3 of 7

- 1 30-year loan, would be at least \$108,000." In further quoting the article, the complaint 2 states that "I'the Obamas had no prior relationship with Northern Trust when they 3 applied for the loan. They received an oral commitment on Feb. 4, 2005, and locked in the rate of 5.625 percent, the campaign said. On that date, HSH [HSH Associates, 4 Financial Publishers data show, the average rate in Chicago for a 30-year fixed-rate 5 jumbo loan with no points was about 5.94 percent." Id. 6 7 The complaint asserts that the Stephens article suggests that then-Senator Obama 8 received special treatment because he was a U.S. Senator, based on a quote in the article 9 from Northern Trust Vice President John O'Connell reportedly stating that among the 10 factors he would expect Northern Trust would consider in setting a mortgage rate is "a 11 person's occupation." Id. at 2-3. The complaint further quotes the Stephens article as 12 reportedly stating that since 1990, Northern Trust employees contributed \$71,000 to then-13 Senator Obama's political campaigns, including a \$1,250 contribution to Senator 14 Obama's 2004 campaign for U.S. Senate. Id. at 3. 15 The complaint then alleges that, based on the information in the Stephens article. Northern Trust's discounted mortgage is actually a disguised campaign contribution to 16 then-Senator Obama because at the time he secured his mortgage, it appears that he was 17 raising funds for his 2004 and 2010 Senate campaigns. Id. It further alleges the 18 \$108,000 contribution by Northern Trust, a \$300 a month "savings" over the life of the 19 . 20 Obemas' 30-year mortgage loan based on the discounted rate, would violate federal laws 21 because it is a corporate contribution and should have been disclosed. Id.
- The response from Northern Trust states that there was no connection between the mortgage and an election, and that even if there were a connection, the mortgage was not

MUR 6035
Factual and Legal Analysis
Northern Trust Company
Page 4 of 7

1 a contribution from Northern Trust. Northern Trust is a "financial services institution 2 that focuses on, among other things, integrated personal wealth management solutions for 3 successful individuals, families, foundations, etc., and looks to establish long-term financial relationships with these clients." Mortgage loans are "commonly provided as a 4 service to our existing customers and as a way to introduce new and potential clients to 5 the institution and familiarize them with the other services that the institution can 6 7 provide." During discussions about the mortgage, Northern Trust discussed the 8 possibility of providing investment services to then-Senator and Ms. Obama. In light of the investment business Northern Trust anticipated receiving from then-Senator and Ms. 9 10 Obema, Northern Trust approved a discount from the rate on Northern Trust's internal 11 rate sheet. Northern Trust provides discounts off its internal rate sheet in the ordinary 12 course of its business. Then-Senator and Ms. Obama entered into a mortgage loan 13 agreement and also opened a brokerage account at Northern Trust's brokerage affiliate, Northern Trust Securities, Inc. 14 15 According to the response, Northern Trust searched its records to locate all of the \$1 million or more loans that had a 30-year term and a fixed interest rate, which were 16 closed and funded during the period from January 1, 2005 to August 1, 2005. Fourteen 17 18 mortgage loans fit that criteria, and "of these 14, eight [including the Obamas' loan] were 19 locked in at a 'discount' in the form of a reduction in the interest rate indicated on 20 Northern Trust's internally produced 'rate sheet.' This rate sheet, which is published 21 internally at Northern Trust at least daily, is the starting point used by Northern Trust 22 staff for determining the interest rate for a mortgage."

MUR 6035
Factual and Legal Analysis
Northern Trust Company
Page 5 of 7

III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), prohibits corporations from making a contribution or expenditure in connection with any election to any political office. 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a). Candidates and political committees are also prohibited from knowingly accepting contributions from corporations. *Id.* The Obamas' loan from Northern Trust Company was procured for the purchase of the Obamas' new home in Chicago, as evidenced by the mortgage and closing documents attached to the complaint. There is no factual information from the complaint, the responses, or the Stephens article, that finds from the mortgage were used "in connection with any election to any political office," a nexus required for a corporate contribution or expenditure to be prohibited under the Act. 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a). This analysis is consistent with MUR 4944 (Hillary Clinton), where the Commission found no reason to believe that Senator Hillary Rodham Clinton, her Senate campaign committee, and Washington Mutual Home Loans, Inc. violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a) in relation to a mortgage Hillary Rodham Clinton and former President Bill Clinton obtained for their home in Chappagua. New York.²

The MUR 4944 Statement of Reasons of Commissioners McDonald, Mason, Sandstrom, Smith and Thomas states "[i]t is undisputed that the money that PNC lent to the Clintons was used solely for the purchase of their new home. There is no indication that this loan "freed up" funds then used by Mrs. Clinton for campaign expenses." The SOR further draws an analogy between the mortgage and the Commission's personal use regulations, which classify mortgage payments as personal use, and notes that payments made to a candidate, irrespective of the candidacy, are not treated as a contribution. 11 C.F.R. § 113(g) (1) and (6). Further, it states that treating bank loans "for a candidate's home purchase or other personal living expenses as not "in connection with the campaign" and as made "irrespective of the candidacy" is well founded, in our view."

MUR 6035
Factual and Legal Analysis
Northern Trust Company
Page 6 of 7

1 In addition, the loan is not considered a prohibited corporate contribution. The Act states that the term "contribution" includes "any...loan...made by any person for the 2 purpose of influencing any election for federal office," 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(A)(i). An 3 exception to this definition is a loan of money by a bank that is made in accordance with applicable law and in the ordinary course of business, 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(B)(vii). A loan 5 is considered in the ordinary course of business if it (1) bears the usual and customary 6 7 interest rate of the lending institution for the category of loan involved; (2) is made on a 8 basis that assures repayment; (3) is evidenced by a written instrument; and (4) is subject 9 to a due date or amortization schedule. 11 C.F.R. § 100.82(a)(1)-(4). The Commission's 10 regulations define "made on a basis that assures renayment" as, inter alia, when the 11 lending institution making the loan has perfected a security interest in collateral owned 12 by the candidate, the fair market value of the collateral is equal to or greater than the loan amount and the candidate provides documentation of the perfected security interest. 13 11 C.F.R. § 100.82(e)(1)(ii). 14 15 Information provided in Northern Trust's response and the complaint shows the loan was made in accordance with applicable law and in the ordinary course of business. 16 17 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(A)(i). Northern Trust's response and the loan documentation 18 adequately address each of the four criteria for a loan to be made in the ordinary course 19 of business, set forth in 11 C.F.R. § 100.82(a). First, the Obamas' loan bears the usual 20 and customary interest rate of Northern Trust because the Obamas were afforded a discount comparable to discounts given to other similarly situated borrowers. Eight of 21 22 the 14 mortgage losus comparable to the Obamas' loan at Northern Trust received discounts. The Obsmas' losn was one of a majority of the comparable mortgages that 23

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MUR 6035 Factual and Legal Analysis Northern Trust Company Page 7 of 7

- 1 received a discount, and it was within the range of the other mortgage discounts. See
- 2 MUR 5198 (Cantwell) (interest rate for loan was usual and customary because 36 of 38
- 3 comparable lines of credit in a two-year period were at or below the rate offered to
- 4 candidate) and MUR 5421 (Kerry) (interest rate was usual and customary because 48 of
- 5 52 comparable loans granted during a five-month period had the same interest rate as
- 6 offered to candidate).

Further, Northern Trust uses mortgage loans as a way to introduce new and
potential clients to the institution and familiarize them with the other services that the
institution provides. The Obamas were offered a discounted rate because Northern Trust

anticipated receiving investment business from the Obamas.

Second, the Obamas' mortgage was made on a basis that assures repayment because Northern Trust had a perfected security interest in the Obamas' \$1.65 million home as collateral for the \$1.32 million loan, according to the mortgage documents and response from Northern Trust. Finally, the Obamas' mortgage was evidenced by written instruments, the mortgage documents, and is subject to a due date of July 1, 2035, which fulfills the third and fourth requirements of 11 C.F.R. § 100.82(a). Thus, the mortgage loan is not a prohibited corporate contribution because it falls under the exception for loans made in accordance with applicable law and in the ordinary course of business. For all of these reasons, the Commission finds no reason to believe that Northern Trust violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a).

1			
2	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
4		FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS	
5 6			
7			
8	RESPONDENTS:	Barack Obama MUR 6035 Obama 2010, Inc., and Harvey S. Wineberg.	
10		in his official capacity as treasurer	
l 1 l 2		Obama for Illinois, Inc., and Harvey S. Wineberg, in his official capacity as treasurer (terminated)	
13		in ins omeiai capacity as ireasules (terminates)	
14	i. <u>Generat</u>	ION OF MATTER	
15	This matter	was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election	
16	Commission by Thomas Fitton, on behalf of Judicial Watch, Inc. See 2 U.S.C.		
17	§ 437g(a)(1).		
18	IL FACTUAL	SUMMARY	
19	The complain	nt alleges, based on an article in The Washington Post, that then-	
20	Senstor Barack Obama and his wife Michelle Obama obtained a mortgage from Northern		
21	Trust Company ("Northern Trust") at a discounted interest rate, which resulted in a		
22	"disguised campaign contribution to [then-]Senator Obama of at least \$108,000." The		
23	complaint further alleges that the contribution was a prohibited corporate contribution		
24	and that neither of then-Senator Obama's campaigns nor Northern Trust reported the		
25	contribution. On July 2, 2008, The Washington Post published an article stating that		
26	then-Senator Barack Obama and Michelle Obama obtained a mortgage from Northern		
27	Trust on June 15, 2005, for \$1.32 million at a 5.67 percent interest rate, for the purchase		
28	of a \$1.65 million home on Chicago's south side. Joe Stephens, Obama Got Discount or		
29	Home Loan, Wash. Post, July 2, 2008, at A03 ("Stephens article"). According to the		
30	erticle the Ohemes	received a mortgage from Northern Trust at a discounted rate lower	

MUR 6035
Factual and Legal Analysis
Barack Obama, Obama 2010, Inc., Obama for Illinois, Inc. (terminated)
Page 2 of 8

- than "the average offered at the time in Chicago for similarly structured jumbo loans." Id.
- 2 Rates for similar loans during the same week averaged 5.93 percent to 6.0 percent,
- 3 according to the story, and may have saved the then-Senator more than \$300 a month. Id.
- 4 A spokesman for then-Senator Obama, quoted in the article, said that the interest rate was
- 5 adjusted to account for a competing offer from another lender and other factors. Id. The
- 6 article quoted the Obama spokesman as saying "[t]he Obamas have since had as much as
- 7 \$3 million invested through Northern Trust." Id. The article noted that when the
- 8 Obamas secured the loan, their income had risen dramatically, because Barack Obama
- 9 assumed his Senate seat and received a \$2.27 million book deal from Random House, and
- 10 Michelle Obama received a promotion to vice president at the University of Chicago
- 11 Hospitals. Id. It stated, however, that the Obamas had no prior relationship with
- 12 Northern Trust when they applied for the loan. Id. The article also quoted a Northern
- 13 Trust official as stating that Northern Trust has no formal program to provide discounts to
- 14 public officials, but that a person's salary and occupation are two factors they take into
- 15 consideration when anyone is seeking a mortgage at Northern Trust. Id. The official
- 16 further stated that "It he bottom line is, this was a business proposition for us" and "our
- 17 business model is to service and pursue successful individuals, families and institutions."
- 18 *Id*. ¹

The Stephens article was criticized by a later article in *The Washington Post* which stated that the Stephens article "had a negative cast to it and lacked the important context that other wealthy and savvy borrowers could have done as well under similar circumstances." Deborah Howell, *More Story Than a Loan Merited*, Wash. Post, July 13, 2008 at B06. The Stephens article was also criticized by an article in the Columbia Journalism Review, which questioned why *The Washington Post* run the Stephens article because Stephens left the question of whether Obama's political position affected the details of his loan unanswered and "all that's left are a bunch of weak correlations." Justin Peters, *Behind Barack's* "Surpicious" Mortgage, Colum. Journalism Rev., July 2, 2008.

MUR 6035
Factual and Legal Analysis
Barack Obama, Obama 2010, Inc., Obama for Illinois, Inc. (terminated)
Page 3 of 8

1 Based on the Stephens article, Judicial Watch filed a complaint with the 2 Commission. The complaint states that the Stephens article reports that "the favorable 3 interest rate would save [then-]Sen. Obama over \$300 a month, which over the life of the 30-year loan, would be at least \$108,000." In further quoting the article, the complaint states that "It lie Obamas had no prior relationship with Northern Trust when they 5 applied for the loan. They received an oral commitment on Feb. 4, 2005, and locked in 6 the rate of 5.625 percent, the campaign said. On that date, HSH [HSH Associates, 7 8 Financial Publishers data show, the average rate in Chicago for a 30-year fixed-rate 9 jumbo loan with no points was about 5.94 percent." Id. 10 The complaint asserts that the Stephens article suggests that then-Senator Obama 11 received special treatment because he was a U.S. Senator, based on a quote in the article 12 from Northern Trust Vice President John O'Connell reportedly stating that among the factors he would expect Northern Trust would consider in setting a mortgage rate is "a 13 person's occupation." Id. at 2-3. The complaint further quotes the Stephens article as 14 15 reportedly stating that since 1990, Northern Trust employees contributed \$71,000 to then-16 Senator Obama's political campaigns, including a \$1,250 contribution to Senator 17 Obama's 2004 campaign for U.S. Senate. Id. at 3. 18 The complaint then alleges that, based on the information in the Stephens article, 19 Northern Trust's discounted mortgage is actually a disguised campaign contribution to 20 then-Senator Obama because at the time he secured his mortsage, it appears that he was 21 raising funds for his 2004 and 2010 Senate campaigns, Id. It further alleges the 22 \$108,000 contribution by Northern Trust, a \$300 a month "savings" over the life of the

MUR 6035
Factual and Legal Analysis
Barack Obams, Obams 2010, Inc., Obams for Illinois, Inc. (terminated)
Page 4 of 8

- 1 Obamas' 30-year mortgage loan based on the discounted rate, would violate federal laws
- 2 because it is a corporate contribution and should have been disclosed. *Id*.
- 3 The response from Northern Trust states that there was no connection between the
- 4 mortgage and an election, and that even if there were a connection, the mortgage was not
- 5 a contribution from Northern Trust. Northern Trust is a "financial services institution
- 6 that focuses on, among other things, integrated personal wealth management solutions for
- 7 successful individuals, families, foundations, etc., and looks to establish long-term
- 8 financial relationships with these clients." Mortgage loans are "commonly provided as a
- 9 service to our existing customers and as a way to introduce new and potential clients to
- 10 the institution and familiarize them with the other services that the institution can
- 11 provide." During discussions about the mortgage, Northern Trust discussed the
- 12 possibility of providing investment services to then-Senator and Ms. Obama. In light of
- 13 the investment business Northern Trust anticipated receiving from then-Senator and Ms.
- Obama, Northern Trust approved a discount from the rate on Northern Trust's internal
- 15 rate sheet. Northern Trust provides discounts off its internal rate sheet in the ordinary
- 16 course of its business. Then-Senator and Ms. Obama entered into a mortgage loan
- 17 agreement and also opened a brokerage account at Northern Trust's brokerage affiliate.
- 18 Northern Trust Securities, Inc.
- According to the response, Northern Trust searched its records to locate all of the
- 20 \$1 million or more loans that had a 30-year term and a fixed interest rate, which were
- 21 closed and funded during the period from January 1, 2005 to August 1, 2005. Fourteen
- 22 mortgage loans fit that criteria, and "of these 14, eight [including the Obamas' loan] were
- 23 locked in at a 'discount' in the form of a reduction in the interest rate indicated on

MUR 6035
Factual and Legal Analysis
Barack Obama, Obama 2010, Inc., Obama for Illinois, Inc. (terminated)
Page 5 of 8

- 1 Northern Trust's internally produced 'rate sheet.' This rate sheet, which is published
- 2 internally at Northern Trust at least daily, is the starting point used by Northern Trust
- 3 staff for determining the interest rate for a mortgage."

4 III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), prohibits 5 6 corporations from making a contribution or expenditure in connection with any election to any political office. 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a). Candidates and political committees are also 7 8 prohibited from knowingly accepting contributions from corporations. Id. The Obamas' 9 loan from Northern Trust Company was procured for the purchase of the Obamas' new 10 home in Chicago, as evidenced by the mortgage and closing documents attached to the 11 complaint. There is no factual information from the complaint, the responses, or the Stephens article, that funds from the mortgage were used "in connection with any 12 13 election to any political office," a nexus required for a corporate contribution or 14 expenditure to be prohibited under the Act. 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a). This analysis is 15 consistent with MUR 4944 (Hillary Clinton), where the Commission found no reason to believe that Senator Hillary Rodham Clinton, her Senate campaign committee, and 16 17 Washington Mutual Home Loans, Inc. violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a) in relation to a 18 mortgage Hillary Rodham Clinton and former President Bill Clinton obtained for their 19 home in Chappagua, New York.²

The MUR 4944 Statement of Reasons of Commissioners McDonald, Mason, Sandstrom, Smith and Thomas states "[i]t is undisputed that the money that PNC lent to the Clintons was used solely for the purchase of their new home. There is no indication that this loan "freed up" funds then used by Mrs. Clinton for campaign expenses." The SOR further draws an analogy between the mortgage and the Commission's personal use regulations, which classify mortgage payments as personal use, and notes that payments made to a candidate, irrespective of the candidacy, are not treated as a contribution. 11 C.F.R. § 113(g) (1) and (6). Further, it states that treating bank loans "for a candidate's home purchase or other

MUR 6035
Factual and Legal Analysis
Barack Obama, Obama 2010, Inc., Obama for Illinois, Inc. (terminated)
Page 6 of 8

1 In addition, the loan is not considered a prohibited corporate contribution. The 2 Act states that the term "contribution" includes "anv...loan...made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for federal office." 2 U.S.C. & 431(8)(A)(i). An 3 exception to this definition is a loan of money by a bank that is made in accordance with 5 applicable law and in the ordinary course of business. 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(B)(vii). A loan 6 is considered in the ordinary course of business if it (1) bears the usual and customary 7 interest rate of the lending institution for the category of loan involved; (2) is made on a 8 basis that assures repayment; (3) is evidenced by a written instrument; and (4) is subject 9 to a due date or amortization schedule. 11 C.F.R. § 100.82(a)(1)-(4). The Commission's 10 regulations define "made on a basis that assures repayment" as, inter alia, when the 11 lending institution making the loan has perfected a security interest in collateral owned 12 by the candidate, the fair market value of the collateral is equal to or greater than the loan 13 amount and the candidate provides documentation of the perfected security interest. 14 11 C.F.R. § 100.82(e)(1)(ii). 15 Information provided in Northern Trust's response and the complaint shows the loan was made in accordance with applicable law and in the ordinary course of business. 16 17 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(A)(i). Northern Trust's response and the loan documentation 18 adequately address each of the four criteria for a loan to be made in the ordinary course 19 of business, set forth in 11 C.F.R. § 100.82(a). First, the Obamas' loan bears the usual 20 and customary interest rate of Northern Trust because the Obamas were afforded a 21 discount comparable to discounts given to other similarly situated borrowers. Eight of

MUR 6035
Factual and Legal Analysis
Barack Obarna, Obarna 2010, Inc., Obarna for Illinois, Inc. (terminated)
Page 7 of 8

the 14 mortgage loans comparable to the Obamas' loan at Northern Trust received 1 2 discounts. The Obamas' loan was one of a majority of the comparable mortgages that 3 received a discount, and it was within the range of the other mortgage discounts. See MUR 5198 (Cantwell) (interest rate for loan was usual and customary because 36 of 38 comparable lines of credit in a two-year period were at or below the rate offered to 5 6 candidate) and MUR 5421 (Kerry) (interest rate was usual and customary because 48 of 7 52 comparable loans granted during a five-month period had the same interest rate as 8 offered to candidate). 9 Further. Northern Trust uses mortgage loans as a way to introduce new and 10 potential clients to the institution and familiarize them with the other services that the 11 institution provides. The Obamas were offered a discounted rate because Northern Trust 12 anticipated receiving investment business from the Obamas. 13 Second, the Obamas' mortgage was made on a basis that assures repayment 14 because Northern Trust had a perfected security interest in the Obamas' \$1.65 million 15 home as collateral for the \$1.32 million loan, according to the mortgage documents and 16 response from Northern Trust. Finally, the Obamas' mortgage was evidenced by written 17 instruments, the mortgage documents, and is subject to a due date of July 1, 2035, which 18 fulfills the third and fourth requirements of 11 C.F.R. § 100.82(a). Thus, the mortgage 19 loan is not a prohibited corporate contribution because it falls under the exception for 20 loans made in accordance with applicable law and in the ordinary course of business. 21 For all of these reasons, the Commission finds no reason to believe that Barack Obama 22 violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a) and no reason to believe that Obama 2010, Inc. and Harvey S. Wineberg, in his official capacity as treasurer, and Obama for Illinois, Inc. and Harvey 23

MUR 6035
Factual and Legal Analysis
Barack Obama, Obama 2010, Inc., Obama for Illinois, Inc. (terminated)
Page 8 of 8

- 1 S. Wineberg, in his official capacity as treasurer, (terminated) violated 2 U.S.C.
- 2 §§ 441b(a) and 434(b)(2).